## APPEAL NO. 020797 FILED MAY 22, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on March 18, 2002. With respect to the single issue before him, the hearing officer determined that the respondent's (claimant) compensable injury of \_\_\_\_\_\_, extends to and includes injuries to her cervical spine and lumbar spine. In its appeal, the appellant (carrier) argues that the hearing officer's determination that the compensable injury extends to and includes the cervical spine and lumbar spine is against the great weight of the evidence. In her response to the carrier's appeal, the claimant urges affirmance.

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant's compensable injury includes injuries to the cervical spine and lumbar spine. Extent of injury is a question of fact. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93613, decided August 24, 1993. Section 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence and to determine what facts the evidence has established. Garza v. Commercial Ins. Co., 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for sufficiency of the evidence, we will reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986). In this instance, there was conflicting evidence on the issue before the hearing officer. The hearing officer resolved that conflict against the carrier and he was acting within his province as the fact finder in so doing. Nothing in our review of the record demonstrates that challenged determination is so against the great weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Therefore, no sound basis exists for us to disturb the hearing officer's extent-of-injury determination on appeal. Cain.

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ARGONAUT SOUTHWEST INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## JOSEPH A. YURKOVICH 1431 GREENWAY DRIVE, SUITE 450 IRVING, TEXAS 75038.

	Elaine M. Chane Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge	
Robert E. Lang Appeals Panel Manager/Judge	